

Универзитет Привредна академија у Новом Саду  
University Business Academy in Novi Sad

Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије Београд  
Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade

ФАКУЛТЕТ ЗА  
ПРИМЕЊЕНИ  
МЕНАЏМЕНТ  
ЕКОНОМИЈУ  
И ФИНАНСИЈЕ



**MEFKON23**

**International Scientific & Professional Conference**

МЕЂУНАРОДНА НАУЧНО-СТРУЧНА КОНФЕРЕНЦИЈА

**INNOVATION AS AN INITIATOR  
OF THE DEVELOPMENT**  
ИНОВАЦИЈЕ КАО ПОКРЕТАЧ РАЗВОЈА

**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS**

ЗБОРНИК РАДОВА СА МЕЂУНАРОДНОГ СКУПА

**I N N O V A T I O N S**

December 7th  
Belgrade, 2023

Универзитет Привредна академија у Новом Саду

University Business Academy in Novi Sad

Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије, Београд

Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance, Belgrade

Међународна научно-стручна конференција

International Scientific & Professional Conference

**МЕФкон 2023 / MEFkon 2023**

*ИНОВАЦИЈЕ КАО ПОКРЕТАЧ РАЗВОЈА*

*INNOVATION AS THE INITIATOR OF DEVELOPMENT*

ЗБОРНИК РАДОВА СА МЕЂУНАРОДНОГ СКУПА

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

Београд, 7. децембар 2023. године  
Међународна научно-стручна конференција  
**МЕФкон 2023:**  
„Иновације као покретач развоја“  
Зборник радова са међународног скупа  
*\*радови су објављени у изворном облику*

Belgrade, December 7<sup>th</sup> 2023  
International Scientific & Professional Conference  
**MEFkon 2023:**  
“Innovation as an Initiator of the Development”  
International Conference Proceedings  
*\*papers were published in the original form*

Издавач / Publisher

Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије Београд  
Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade

За издавача / For the Publisher

Miodrag Brzaković, PhD, Council President  
Darjan Karabašević, PhD, Interim Dean

Уредници / Editors

Darjan Karabašević, PhD  
Svetlana Vukotić, PhD  
Gabrijela Popović, PhD

Технички уредници / Technical editors

Sanja Anastasija Marković, MSc  
Vuk Mirčetić, MSc

Дизајн / Design

Strahinja Vidojević, Bsc

Штампа / Print

Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије Београд  
Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade

Тираж / Number of copies

100

ISBN 978-86-84531-68-3



**Republic of Serbia**

---

MINISTRY OF SCIENCE,  
TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT AND INNOVATION

**International Scientific & Professional Conference “Innovation as the initiator of development” is financially supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia.**



**Република Србија**

---

МИНИСТАРСТВО НАУКЕ,  
ТЕХНОЛОШКОГ РАЗВОЈА И ИНОВАЦИЈА

**Међународна научно-стручна конференција “Иновације као покретач развоја” финансијски је подржана од стране Министарства науке, технолошког развоја и иновација Републике Србије.**

**Организатор / Organizer:**

Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије, Београд  
Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance, Belgrade

**Суорганизатори / Co-organizers:**

Higher School of Finance and Management, Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA), Moscow, Russia

Faculty of Computers and Applied Informatics, “TIBISCUS” University od Timișoara, Timișoara, Romania

Faculty of Economics and Tourism “Dr. Mijo Mirković”, Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Croatia

PAR University College of Applied Sciences, Rijeka, Croatia

University “Vitez”, Bosnia and Herzegovina

The American University of Europe, AUE-FON, Skopje, North Macedonia

Faculty of Management, Herceg Novi, Montenegro

Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism – Vrnjačka Banja, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

University of Novi Pazar, Novi Pazar, Serbia

Institute of Agricultural Economics, Belgrade, Serbia

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Innovation Center, Belgrade, Serbia

Center for Advanced Researches Skopje, North Macedonia

National Association of Healthcare Professionals of Serbia, Serbia

Regional Chamber of Commerce of Šumadija and Pomoravlje Administrative District, Serbia

## **Научни одбор / Scientific Committee**

**Darjan Karabašević, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia  
(*President of the Scientific Committee*)

**Marijana Carić, PhD**, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Marko Carić, PhD**, Faculty of Law, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Mirko Kulić, PhD**, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Dragan Soleša, PhD**, Faculty of Economics and Engineering Management, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Cipriana Sava, PhD**, Faculty of Computers and Applied Informatics, “TIBISCUS”, University of Timișoara, Timișoara, Romania

**Selçuk Korucuk, PhD**, Giresun University, Bulancak Kadir Karabaş Vocational School, Department of Logistic Management, Turkey

**Tamara Floričić, PhD**, Faculty of Economics and Tourism "Dr. M. Mirković", Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Croatia

**Iva Slivar, PhD**, Faculty of Economics and Tourism "Dr. M. Mirković", Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Croatia

**Roberta Kontošić, PhD**, Faculty of Economics and Tourism "Dr. M. Mirković", Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Croatia

**Jamila Jaganjac, PhD**, University “Vitez”, Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Darijo Jerković, PhD**, University “Vitez”, Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Gordana Nikolić, PhD**, PAR University College of Applied Sciences, Rijeka, Croatia

**Marko Čičin-Šain, PhD**, PAR University College of Applied Sciences, Rijeka, Croatia

**Temelko Risteski, PhD**, Center for Advanced Researches Skopje, North Macedonia

**Liljana Popovska, PhD**, Center for Advanced Researches Skopje, North Macedonia

**Mirko Tripunoski, PhD**, The American University of Europe, AUE-FON, Skopje, North Macedonia

**Savo Aštalkoski, PhD**, The American University of Europe, AUE-FON, Skopje, North Macedonia



**Sejdefa Džafče, PhD**, The American University of Europe, AUE-FON, Skopje, North Macedonia

**Galina Verigina, PhD**, Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, (RANEPA), Moscow, Russia

**Nikolaj Kovalchuk, PhD**, Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, (RANEPA), Moscow, Russia

**Irina Altukhova**, Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, (RANEPA), Moscow, Russia

**Jovana Jovanović, PhD**, Faculty of Management, Herceg Novi, Montenegro

**Irena Petrušić, PhD**, Faculty of Management, Herceg Novi, Montenegro

**Zoran Simonović, PhD**, Institute of Agricultural Economics, Belgrade, Serbia

**Svetlana Roljević Nikolić, PhD**, Institute of Agricultural Economics, Belgrade, Serbia

**Drago Cvijanović, PhD**, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjačka Banja, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

**Marija Lakićević, PhD**, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjačka Banja, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

**Sonja Milutinović, PhD**, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjačka Banja, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

**Milena Podovac, PhD**, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjačka Banja, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

**Maja Đurović Petrović, PhD**, Innovation Center of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Serbia

**Snežana Kirin, PhD**, Innovation Center of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Serbia

**Jasmina Lozanović Šajić, PhD**, Innovation Center of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Serbia

**Aleksandar Grubor, PhD**, Faculty of Economics in Subotica, University of Novi Sad, Serbia

**Miodrag Vučić, PhD**, National Association of healthcare professionals of Serbia, Serbia

**Nebojša Vacić, PhD**, National Association of healthcare professionals of Serbia, Serbia

**Dragiša Stanujkić, PhD**, Technical Faculty in Bor, University of Belgrade, Serbia

**Muzafer Saračević, PhD**, Department of Computer Sciences, University of Novi Pazar, Novi Pazar, Srbija

**Ivan Micić, PhD**, Faculty of Medicine, University of Niš, Serbia

**Zoran Hajduković, PhD**, Medical Faculty of the Military Medical Academy, University of Defence in Belgrade, Serbia

**Ieva Meidutė-Kavaliauskienė, PhD**, Faculty of Business Management, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Vilnius, Lithuania

**Bratislav Predić, PhD**, Faculty of Electronic Engineering, University of Niš, Serbia

**Željko Stević, PhD**, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, University of East Sarajevo, Dobož, Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Dragan Pamučar, PhD**, Military Academy, University of Defence, Belgrade, Serbia

**Natalia Vuković, PhD**, Russian State Social University, Faculty of Ecology, Moscow, Russian Federation

**Milan Stamatović, PhD**, Faculty of Business and Law, University Union – Nikola Tesla, Serbia

**Darko Vuković, PhD**, Saint Petersburg School of Economics and Management, National Research University Higher School of Economics, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

**Aleksandar Đoković, PhD**, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, University of Belgrade

**Velemir Ninković, PhD**, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, Sweden

**Hugo Van Veghel, PhD**, Belgian Serbian Business Association, Belgium

**Desimir Knežević, PhD**, University of Priština, Serbia

**Jonel Subić, PhD**, Institute of Agricultural Economics, Serbia

**Elez Osmani, PhD**, Institute for Scientific Research, Montenegro

**Nikola Ćurčić, PhD**, Institute of Agricultural Economics, Belgrade, Serbia

**Marina Milovanović, PhD**, Faculty for Entrepreneurial Business and Real Estate Management, University Union-Nikola Tesla, Serbia

**Milan Marković, PhD**, Innovation Center of the University of Niš, Serbia

**Slađana Vujičić, PhD**, Faculty of Business Economics and Entrepreneurship, Belgrade, Serbia

**Miodrag Brzaković, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia



**Tomislav Brzaković, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Svetlana Vukotić, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Srđan Novaković, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Tatjana Dragičević Radičević, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Ivona Brajević, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Gabrijela Popović, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Oliver Momčilović, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Adriana Radosavac, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

## **Организациони одбор / Organizing Committee**

**Gabrijela Popović, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia  
*(President of the Organizing Committee)*

**Sanja Anastasija Marković, MSc**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia  
*(Vice-president of the Organizing Committee)*

**Pavle Brzaković, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Darjan Karabašević, PhD**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Vuk Mirčetić, MSc**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Goran Jocić, MSc**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Tamara Ranisavljević, MSc**, Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance Belgrade, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia

**Vedran Milojica, mag.oec.**, PhD Candidate, Faculty of Tourism and Hospitality Management, University of Rijeka, Croatia

**Dominik Patafta, mag.oec.**, PAR University College of Applied Sciences, Rijeka, Croatia

**Cipriana Sava, PhD**, Faculty of Computers and Applied Informatics, “TIBISCUS”, University of Timișoara, Timișoara, Romania

## ПРЕДГОВОР

Иновације и даље прожимају наш свакодневни живот, а ове године, баш као и претходних осам, Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије, Универзитет Привредна академија у Новом Саду, приредио је зборник радова с обзиром на пристигле чланке и њихов свеобухватан тематски аспект. Под насловом 'Иновације као покретач развоја', радови у овом зборнику истражују значај иновација у обликовању будућности, почевши од садашњости која захтева пажљиво истраживање, анализу и разматрање. Овај зборник обухвата преко 60 радова послатих од стране угледних универзитетских професора, истакнутих истраживача, експерата и научних радника како из Србије, тако и из иностранства.

Желимо и да истакнемо да је међународна научно-стручна конференција "Иновације као покретач развоја" финансијски подржана од стране Министарства науке, технолошког развоја и иновација Републике Србије. Зборник радова међународног значаја, стандардно је категорисан у домаћој науци као МЗЗ, је у форми дигиталне и штампане едиције и биће доступан широј научној и стручној јавности.

Београд,

Децембар, 2023.

Уредници

Др Дарјан Карабашевић

Др Светлана Вукотић

Др Габријела Поповић

## FOREWORD

Innovations continue to permeate our daily lives, and this year, just like the previous eight, the Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, prepared a collection of papers considering the articles received and their comprehensive thematic aspect. Entitled "Innovation as the initiator of development", the papers in this collection explore the importance of innovation in shaping the future, starting with the present, which requires careful research, analysis and consideration. This collection includes over 60 papers sent by distinguished university professors, prominent researchers, experts and scientific workers both from Serbia and abroad.

We would also like to point out that the International Scientific & Professional Conference "Innovation as the initiator of development" is financially supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia. The book of proceedings of international importance, categorized in domestic science as M33, is in the form of a digital and print edition and will be available to the wider scientific and professional public.

Belgrade,

December, 2023

Editors

Darjan Karabašević, PhD

Svetlana Vukotić, PhD

Gabrijela Popović, PhD

## САДРЖАЈ / CONTENT

---

### РАДОВИ ПО ПОЗИВУ

#### INVITED PAPERS

---

Александар Токовић Никола Цветковић Вук Мирчетић	Дефинисање мере евалуације основних школа у Републици Србији	1
--	--	---

---

### РАДОВИ СА КОНФЕРЕНЦИЈЕ

#### CONFERENCE PAPERS

---

Ahmet Aytekin Selçuk Korucuk	<b>An Application for Weighting Supply Chain Integration Obstacles in Manufacturing Firms: A Case Study of Ordu Province</b>	9
Ivona Brajević Miodrag Brzaković Dušan Rajčević	<b>A modified Rao algorithm for solving integer programming problems</b>	19
Maja Stanujkic Gabrijela Popovic Dragisa Stanujkic Florentin Smarandache	<b>Approach to the personnel selection process in a group decision-making environment based on the PSI method</b>	26
Ivona Brajević Miodrag Brzaković Goran Jocić	<b>Constraint handling in the hybrid sine cosine algorithm</b>	33
Selçuk Korucuk Ahmet Aytekin	<b>Measuring Logistics Flexibility in Corporate Logistics Businesses: A Case Study of İstanbul Province</b>	41
Tamara Ranisavljević Darjan Karabašević Aleksandar Šijan	<b>Analysis of state management libraries in modern JavaScript frameworks</b>	51
Ивана Јосифовић Вук Мирчетић	<b>Употреба информационо – комуникационих технологија приликом селекције људских</b>	62

---

	<b>ресурса</b>	
Mahir Zajmović	<b>Analiza prednosti i nedostataka rudarenja podataka</b>	70
Nikola Božić	<b>Izazovi online obrazovanja tokom pandemije COVID-19 i predlog optimalnih modela</b>	79
Neda Nešić Lazar Đoković Dragan Doljanica Svetlana Marković	<b>Примена вишеатрибутивног одлучивања при оцени и избору понуде јавне набавке</b>	87
Itojong Anthony Ayamba Miodrag Škundrić Marko Filijović	<b>Social media as a tool for crime regulation: a brief SWOT analysis</b>	96
Марија Младеновић Тијана Ђукић Габријела Поповић	<b>Рангирање платформи за финансијско извештавање</b>	103
Лука Илић Александар Шијан Дејан Видука	<b>Унапређење тренинга и тестирања модела вештачке интелигенције кроз валидацију података помоћу Python библиотеке Pydantic</b>	113
Mahir Zajmović Nikola Vlaški	<b>Predikcija vrednosti kriptovaluta uz pomoć mašinskog učenja i analizom blokčejn informacija</b>	119
Dusan Rajic	<b>The generation of ecological innovations based on science</b>	128
Martina Jurković Tihana Kalčić	<b>The impact of GDPR on the work of career centers at higher education institutions</b>	135
Nikola Božić	<b>Empirijsko istraživanje stavova učenika i studenata o nastavi na daljinu</b>	144
Вук Мирчетић Светлана Вукотић	<b>Постављање ТЕДИ матрице у циљу кластеризације иновација у менаџменту људских ресурса</b>	154

Нина Кубуровић Нина Николић	<b>Значај и улога менаџмента људских ресурса у унапређењу пословних перформанси на примеру компаније „Телеком Србија“</b>	162
Miloš Kosanić	<b>Uloga ljudskih veština menadžera u donošenju odluka</b>	169
Татјана Јановац	<b>Савремени лидерски концепти-предности и недостаци</b>	176
Stefan Brzaković Aleksandar Brzaković Sanja Đurđević	<b>Indeksi ljudskog kapitala i inovativnosti</b>	185
Владо Радић Никола Радић Марија Марковић Благојевић	<b>Побољшање хуманизације и одрживости засновано на Индустрији 5.0</b>	194
Cucu Maria	<b>Chronic stress and organizational health - Case study: organizational stress in a travel agency</b>	205
Павле Брзаковић Катарина Брзаковић Милош Мاستиловић	<b>Савремени концепт организације која учи</b>	211
Miloš Kosanić	<b>Doprinos preduzetništva zapošljavanju mladih</b>	219
Марија Младеновић Драган Дољаница Марија Јаношик	<b>Културна разноликост у функцији пословне комуникације</b>	228
Vesna Martin	<b>Analysis of the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on the Republic of Serbia's banking sector and economy</b>	237
Mihaela-Simona Galea	<b>Digital European economy – theoretical aspects from specialized literature</b>	247
Suzana Stoimenov Ružica Đervida Adriana Radosavac	<b>Doprinos cirkularne ekonomije održivoj budućnosti Srbije kroz prizmu održive proizvodnje i potrošnje</b>	254



Слободанка Павловић Ружица Јелисић Адриана Радосавац	<b>Утицај пандемије КОВИД-19 на управљање медицинским отпадом и његове друштвено-економске ефекте кроз примјер Дома здравља Бијељина</b>	263
Kosana Vićentijević Nataša Simeunović	<b>Konceptualni okviri cirkularne ekonomije i računovodstva održivosti</b>	273
Nemanja Gogić	<b>Korporativne strategije kao izazov u eksternom okruženju</b>	281
Слободан Пешевић Зоран Ђуричић Сиргиана Сава Адриана Радосавац	<b>Анализа фактора који негативно утичу на финансијски резултат као метод изласка предузећа из кризе</b>	292
Nagy Cristina Mihaela Mladin Franca	<b>Aspects of the financial audit of an entity's annual accounts</b>	301
Dragotă Violeta Gianina	<b>Aspects regarding the internal public audit mission report of performance at a public entity</b>	309
Slobodan Stanojević Sanja Anastasija Marković	<b>Pronalaženje implicitnih znanja u postupku obavljanja revizije</b>	316
Slobodan Stanojević Zdenka Vidović Sanja Anastasija Marković	<b>Značaj obavljanja revizije svrsishodnosti budžetskih korisnika</b>	326
Dragana Petrović Adriana Radosavac Miloš Grujić Slobodan Pešević	<b>Uloga forenzičkog računovodstva u detekciji računovodstvenih prevara u savremenom poslovnom okruženju</b>	341
Miloš Ivaniš Živan Bajić	<b>Inovativni procesi u malim i srednjim preduzećima</b>	351
Predrag Todorov	<b>Medijacija kao instrument rešavanja preduzetničkih konflikata</b>	360

Miloš Ivaniš Živan Bajić	<b>Inovativna priroda preduzetničkog procesa</b>	370
Sanja Đurđević Stefan Brzaković Aleksandar Brzaković	<b>Uticaj preduzetništva i malih i srednjih preduzeća na održivi razvoj</b>	379
Dominik Patafta Romina Agbaba	<b>Analysis of communication channels as a predictor of tourist arrivals to a destination</b>	386
Sara Fuchs Dino Baričević Svetlana Marković	<b>Event Level, Tourism Products/Services Event Level and Event Tourism Industry Level as a Function of Event Tourism Level</b>	394
Bojana Ostojić Dragan Klarić Irena Petrušić	<b>Uticaj marketinga na profesionalni sport i turizam</b>	403
Tatjana Janovac	<b>Pregled očekivanih prednosti primene standarda kvaliteta u turizmu</b>	412
Marija Vukoja Irijana Rajković	<b>The Role and Significance of Rural Tourism for the Development of the Region</b>	418
Олгица Милошевић Нина Николић Светлана Марковић Драган Дољаница	<b>Заштита интелектуалне својине у доба вештачке интелигенције</b>	430
Светлана Марковић Нада Ђурић Милица Петровић	<b>Заштита интелектуалне својине у области ИТ</b>	441
Ghica Elena Doina	<b>Highlighting certain significant risks regarding the organization of the legal activity and representing the entity's interests before the courts</b>	447
Adnan Salkić	<b>Prava i obaveze osoba angažovanih po ugovoru o djelu, ugovoru o povremenim-privremenim poslovima-odgovornost i vrste odgovornosti</b>	454

Слободан Васић Јасмина Секеруш	<b>Утицај иновација у дизајнирању соба и апартмана у хотелијерству на развој туризма</b>	461
Стефан Брзаковић Александар Брзаковић Сања Ђурђевић	<b>Утицај иновација и конкурентских предности организације на људски капитал у организацијама</b>	472
Robert Khachidze Avtandil Svianadze Marko Filijović	<b>Sustainable development and sectoral ISO standardization: a comparative analysis of EU countries and neighboring states</b>	480
Părean Tamaş Angelica Monica	<b>Involving older people in sustainable development activities - a cure for depression</b>	493
Ана Башић Дејан Видука	<b>Унапређење одрживог развоја кроз увођење области управљање ЕЕ отпадом у наставни програм информационих технологија</b>	500
Маја Ђуровић Petrović Јасмина Лозановић	<b>Solar and Wind Energy vs Fossil Fuels - Fast Track to Decarbonization in the EU</b>	507
Сања Анастасија Марковић Слободан Станојевић Миља Орландић	<b>Интернет промоција установа културе у Србији и Европи</b>	516
Гордана Томић Софија Радовановић	<b>Фактори који утичу на потрошаче приликом куповине модних производа</b>	525
Војкан Бижић	<b>Савремени канали маркетиншких услуга</b>	531
Гордана Томић Софија Радовановић	<b>Модно тржиште и његове карактеристике</b>	537
Aleksandra Vračarić Željko Karganović Ivanka Đuričić	<b>Clinical characteristics of hospitalized infants under 1 year of age with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in Department of Pediatrics General Hospital Užice, Serbia</b>	543

---

Milica Lakić	<b>Komparativna analiza bankarskog sektora Bosne i Hercegovine sa zemljama regiona</b>	551
Slobodan Pešević		
Goran Mihajlović		

---

# Approach to the personnel selection process in a group decision-making environment based on the PSI method

## Pristup procesu selekcije kadrova u grupnom odlučivanju zasnovan na PSI metodi

Maja Stanujkic<sup>1</sup>, Gabrijela Popovic<sup>2</sup>, Dragisa Stanujkic<sup>3</sup>, Florentin Smarandache<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ReMade DOO, Industrijska 3b, Novi Sad, Serbia, maja.stanujkic@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance, Jevrejska 24, Belgrade, Serbia, gabrijela.popovic@mef.edu.rs

<sup>3</sup>Technical Faculty, Vojske Jugoslavije 12, Bor, Serbia, dstanujkic@tfbor.bg.ac.rs

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA, smarand@unm.edu

**Abstract:** The selection of adequate personnel is significant for the successful operation of companies. Therefore, this article observed the application of the Preference Selection Index (PSI) method for evaluating personnel in the conditions of group decision-making. The PSI method was chosen because it does not require determining the weights of the criteria, which is why it can be suitable for application by HR managers unfamiliar with the application of multiple criteria decision-making methods.

**Keywords:** human resources management, personnel selection, recruitment, PSI method, MCDM

**Apstrakt:** Izbor adekvatnih kadrova je veoma značajan za uspešno poslovanje kompanija. Zbog toga je u ovom radu pazmatrana primena Preference Selection Index (PSI) metoda za evaluaciju kadrova u uslovima grupnog odlučivanja. PSI metoda je izabrana jer ne zahteva određivanje težina kriterijuma zbog čega može biti pogodna za primenu od strane menadžera ljudskih resursa kojima nije bliska primena metoda višekriterijumskog odlučivanja.

**Ključne reči:** upavljanje ljudskim resursima, izbor kadrova, PSI metoda, MCDM

## Introduction

Recruitment and selection of adequate personnel are essential for the efficient functioning and advancement of the company in a competitive environment. That is why almost every contemporary company has a specialized part, the Human Resources (HR) management department, which deals with recruitment and the selection of personnel needed for the efficient functioning of the company.

In addition to numerous other approaches, using multiple criteria decision-making (MCDM) methods in the recruitment and selection process can be identified as one of the actual approaches. As a result, numerous articles were published in the scientific and professional literature, such as Liang and Wang (1994), Dursun and Karsak (2010), Karabašević et al. (2015), Ulutaş et al. (2020), Popović (2021), Uslu et al. (2021), and so on.

Numerous MCDM methods were applied in these researches, such as TOPSIS (Kelemenis & Askounis, 2010; Matin et al., 2011; Samanlioglu et al., 2018; Nabeehet al. 2019), VIKOR (Liu et al., 2015; Krishankumar et al., 2020), PROMETHEE (Luo & Xing, 2019), EDAS (Karabasevic et al., 2018), COPRAS (Zolfani et al., 2012; Ighravwe & Oke, 2019), and MULTIMOORA (Baležentis et al., 2012a; Baležentis et al., 2012b; Uslu et al., 2021).

Inspired by the previously mentioned research, this article presents the application of one rarely used MCDM method for personnel evaluation in a group decision-making environment.

## Methodology

### The Preference Selection Index method

The PSI method was proposed by Maniya and Bhatt (2010). This method is interesting because it does not require criteria weights, considering that calculating criteria weights is an integral part of this method. In addition, this method has a relatively simple and easy-to-understand calculation procedure that can be presented as follows:

Step 1. Evaluate the alternatives and construct initial decision-making matrix  $D$ , as follows:

$$D = [x_{ij}]_{m \times n}, \quad (1)$$

where  $x_{ij}$  denotes ratings of the alternative  $i$  concerning criterion  $j$ .

Step 2. Construct the normalized decision matrix in which the elements of the matrix are calculated as follows:

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{x_{ij}}{\max_i x_{ij}}; & \text{for beneficial criteria} \\ \frac{\max_i x_{ij}}{x_{ij}}; & \text{for nonbeneficial criteria} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $r_{ij}$  denotes normalized ratings of the alternative  $i$  concerning criterion  $j$ .

Step 3. Calculate preference variation  $\chi_j$  value to each criterion as follows:

$$\chi_j = \sum_{i=1}^m (r_{ij} - \bar{r}_j)^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $\bar{r}_j$  denotes the mean value of normalized ratings of criterion  $j$ , and it is determined as follows:

$$\bar{r}_j = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m r_{ij}. \quad (4)$$

Step 4. Calculate deviation in the preference variation value  $\Omega_j$  as follows:

$$\Omega_j = 1 - \frac{\chi_j}{m-1}. \quad (5)$$

Step 5. Determine the criteria weights  $w_j$  as follows:

$$w_j = \frac{\Omega_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j}. \quad (6)$$

Step 6. Calculate the preference selection index of alternatives  $S_i$  as follows:

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij} w_j. \quad (7)$$

Step 7. Based on the alternatives' preference selection index values, determine the alternatives' complete ranking order. The alternative with the most extensive preference selection index represents the best-ranked alternative.

### The Preference Selection Index method in group decision-making

Many complex decision-making problems, such as the ranking and selection of candidates in the recruitment process, require the participation of several or more decision-makers (DM). Numerous procedures proposed for adapting MCDM methods in a group environment are discussed in the literature. In this article, two straightforward approaches for applying the PCI method in a group environment are presented and discussed.

The first approach is based on the complete calculations using the PCI method for each DM, determining the ranking orders of alternatives based on the attitudes of each DM and finally selecting the most appropriate alternative, i.e., candidate using the Dominance Theory (DT). It should be

emphasized here that the basis of the DT is that the best alternative is the alternative that most often appears in the first position.

The second approach is based on calculating a group decision matrix based on the ratings obtained from all DMs involved in the evaluation and calculation using the PSI method based on this matrix. In this approach, the elements of the group decision matrix can be determined as follows:

$$DG = [x_{ij}^G]_{m \times n}, \quad (8)$$

$$x_{ij}^G = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^k x_{ij}^l, \quad (9)$$

where  $x_{ij}^l$  denotes ratings of the alternative  $i$  in relation to criterion  $j$  obtained from decision maker  $l$ , and  $k$  denotes the number of decision-makers involved in the evaluation.

## Illustrative example

In order to show the usability of the PSI method for ranking and selecting candidates, a numerical example adopted from Karabasevic et al. (2018) is discussed in this section. In the mentioned example, an evaluation of a candidate for the position of human resource manager in a telecommunications company was carried out based on the following criteria:  $C_1$  – Relevant work experience,  $C_2$  – Education,  $C_3$  – Communication and presentation skills,  $C_4$  – People management skills,  $C_5$  – Organizational and planning skills and  $C_6$  – Foreign languages.

The decision matrices obtained from the three DMs involved in the evaluation are shown in Tables 1 to 3, while the group decision matrix is shown in Table 4.

**Table 2.** The decision matrix obtained from the first of three DMs

	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	$C_4$	$C_5$	$C_6$
$A_1$	4	4	3	4	4	3
$A_2$	4	3	4	4	3	3
$A_3$	5	4	3	5	4	4

**Table 2.** The decision matrix obtained from the second of three DMs

	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	$C_4$	$C_5$	$C_6$
$A_1$	3	4	3	4	4	3
$A_2$	5	4	3	3	3	3
$A_3$	3	3	3	3	4	2

**Table 3.** The decision matrix obtained from the third of three DMs

	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	$C_4$	$C_5$	$C_6$
$A_1$	4	4	3	3	4	3
$A_2$	3	4	3	4	3	3
$A_3$	3	4	3	3	2	3

**Table 4.** Group decision matrix obtained from three DMs

	$C_1$	$C_2$	$C_3$	$C_4$	$C_5$	$C_6$
$A_1$	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.67	4.00	3.00
$A_2$	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	3.00
$A_3$	3.67	3.67	3.00	3.67	3.33	3.00



## The first approach

The normalized decision matrix formed based on the ratings obtained from the first DM, using Eq. (2), is shown in Table 5, while the values of  $\chi_j$ ,  $\Omega_j$ , and criteria weights, calculated using Eqs. (3), (5), and (6) are shown in Table 6.

**Table 5.** The normalized decision matrix formed based on the ratings obtained from the first DM

	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>
A <sub>1</sub>	0.80	1.00	0.75	0.80	1.00	0.75
A <sub>2</sub>	0.80	0.75	1.00	0.80	0.75	0.75
A <sub>3</sub>	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00

**Table 6.** Calculation details obtained using the PSI method

	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>
$\chi_j$	0.027	0.042	0.042	0.027	0.042	0.042
$\Omega_j$	0.987	0.979	0.979	0.987	0.979	0.979
$w_j$	0.168	0.166	0.166	0.168	0.166	0.166

The weighted normalized decision matrix, calculated by multiplying the values from the normalized decision matrix, shown in Table 5, and the criteria weights, shown in Table 6, are presented in Table 7. Table 7 also shows the values of  $S_i$ , calculated using Eq. (7), as well as the ranking order of the alternatives.

**Table 7.** The weighted normalized decision matrix and ranking orders of alternatives

	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	$S_i$	Rank
A <sub>1</sub>	0.13	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.17	0.12	0.85	2
A <sub>2</sub>	0.13	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.81	3
A <sub>3</sub>	0.17	0.17	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.96	1

Using the previously presented procedure, the ranking orders of alternatives, the candidates, were determined for the second and third DMs, and the obtained results are summarized in Table 8.

**Table 8.** Summarized ranking orders based on the ratings obtained from three DMs

	DM I		DM II		DM III		Overall
	$S_i$	Rank	$S_i$	Rank	$S_i$	Rank	Rank
A <sub>1</sub>	0.85	2	0.94	1	0.96	1	1
A <sub>2</sub>	0.81	3	0.92	2	0.92	2	2
A <sub>3</sub>	0.96	1	0.80	3	0.84	3	2

As can be observed from Table 8, the alternative, that is, the candidate denoted as A<sub>1</sub>, is the best placed or the most suitable candidate selected based on the ratings obtained from three DMs involved in the evaluation using the PSI method.

## The second approach

The second calculation form performed using the second proposed approach is briefly summarized in Tables 9 to 11.

**Table 9.** The normalized decision matrix formed based on three DMs

	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>
A <sub>1</sub>	0.92	1.00	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00
A <sub>2</sub>	1.00	0.92	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00
A <sub>3</sub>	0.92	0.92	0.90	1.00	0.83	1.00

**Table 10.** Calculation details were obtained using the PSI method based on the ratings obtained from three DMs

	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>
$\chi_j$	0.005	0.005	0.007	0.000	0.032	0.000
$\Omega_j$	0.998	0.998	0.997	1.000	0.984	1.000
$w_j$	0.167	0.167	0.167	0.167	0.165	0.167

**Table 11.** The weighted normalized decision matrix and ranking orders of alternatives based on the ratings of three DMs

	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	$S_i$	Rank
A <sub>1</sub>	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.97	1
A <sub>2</sub>	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.12	0.17	0.94	2
A <sub>3</sub>	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.93	3

As can be concluded from Table 11, the best alternative, the candidate selected by applying the second approach, is the candidate designated as A<sub>1</sub>.

## Conclusion

This article presents the application of the PSI method for evaluating candidates in the recruitment and selection process. The mentioned method does not require the determination of criteria weights because the calculation procedure of this method includes the determination of the significance of the criteria. For this reason, this method can be applicable in cases of evaluation when the criteria weights are not known. In addition, the calculation procedure of this method is still relatively simple and understandable, which is why its application can be interesting for use by DMs who need to become more familiar with the application of MCDM methods.

The article also discusses two possible approaches for using the PSI method in group decision-making. The first approach requires significant calculations but is more suitable for analysis and forming a compromise solution that satisfies the attitudes of all DMs involved in the evaluation. The second approach is more compact and involves fewer calculations because it is based on applying a group decision matrix, but it is also less informative. That is, it does not provide significant opportunities for conducting additional analyses. In addition, this approach can be suitable when several DMs are involved in the decision-making process. In addition, this approach can be easily adapted for decision-making in cases where different DMs have different weights, that is, when their attitudes can have a different impact on the final evaluation of the candidate. The main limitation of the paper is reflected through its inability to reflect the decision environment ambiguity. This shortcoming could be resolved by introducing the fuzzy or grey extensions in the evaluation process, which is also a direction for future research.

## References

- Baležentis, A., Baležentis, T., & Brauers, W. K. (2012a). Personnel selection based on computing with words and fuzzy MULTIMOORA. *Expert Systems with applications*, 39(9), 7961-7967.
- Baležentis, A., Baležentis, T., & Brauers, W. K. (2012b). MULTIMOORA-FG: a multi-objective decision making method for linguistic reasoning with an application to personnel selection. *Informatica*, 23(2), 173-190.
- Dursun, M., & Karsak, E. E. (2010). A fuzzy MCDM approach for personnel selection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(6), 4324-4330.

- Ighravwe, D. E., & Oke, S. A. (2019). An integrated approach of SWARA and fuzzy COPRAS for maintenance technicians' selection factors ranking. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 10, 1615-1626.
- Karabašević, D., Stanujkić, D., & Urošević, S. (2015). The MCDM Model for Personnel Selection Based on SWARA and ARAS Methods. *Management (1820-0222)*, 20(77).
- Karabasevic, D., Stanujkic, D., Djordjevic, B., & Stanujkic, A. (2018). The weighted sum preferred levels of performance approach to solving problems in human resources management. *Serbian Journal of Management*, 13(1), 145-156.
- Karabasevic, D., Stanujkic, D., Urosevic, S., & Maksimovic, M. (2015). Selection of candidates in the mining industry based on the application of the SWARA and the MULTIMOORA methods. *Acta Montanistica Slovaca*, 20(2).
- Karabasevic, D., Zavadskas, E. K., Stanujkic, D., Popovic, G., & Brzakovic, M. (2018). An approach to personnel selection in the IT industry based on the EDAS method. *Transformations in Business & Economics*, 17, 54-65.
- Kelemenis, A., & Askounis, D. (2010). A new TOPSIS-based multi-criteria approach to personnel selection. *Expert systems with applications*, 37(7), 4999-5008.
- Krishankumar, R., Premaladha, J., Ravichandran, K. S., Sekar, K. R., Manikandan, R., & Gao, X. Z. (2020). A novel extension to VIKOR method under intuitionistic fuzzy context for solving personnel selection problem. *Soft Computing*, 24, 1063-1081.
- Liang, G. S., & Wang, M. J. J. (1994). Personnel selection using fuzzy MCDM algorithm. *European journal of operational research*, 78(1), 22-33.
- Liu, H. C., Qin, J. T., Mao, L. X., & Zhang, Z. Y. (2015). Personnel selection using interval 2-tuple linguistic VIKOR method. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries*, 25(3), 370-384.
- Luo, S. Z., & Xing, L. N. (2019). A hybrid decision-making framework for personnel selection using BWM, MABAC, and PROMETHEE. *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 21, 2421-2434.
- Maniya, K., & Bhatt, M. G. (2010). A selection of material using a novel type decision-making method: Preference selection index method. *Materials & Design*, 31(4), 1785-1789.
- Matin, H. Z., Fathi, M. R., Zarchi, M. K., & Azizollahi, S. (2011). The application of fuzzy TOPSIS approach to personnel selection for Padir Company, Iran. *Journal of Management Research*, 3(2), 1-14.
- Nabeeh, N. A., Smarandache, F., Abdel-Basset, M., El-Ghareeb, H. A., & Aboelfetouh, A. (2019). An integrated neutrosophic-topsis approach and its application to personnel selection: A new trend in brain processing and analysis. *Ieee Access*, 7, 29734-29744.
- Popović, M. (2021). An MCDM approach for personnel selection using the CoCoSo method. *Journal of process management and new technologies*, 9(3-4), 78-88.
- Samanlioglu, F., Taskaya, Y. E., Gulen, U. C., & Cokcan, O. (2018). A fuzzy AHP-TOPSIS-based group decision-making approach to IT personnel selection. *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 20, 1576-1591.
- Ulutaş, A., Popovic, G., Stanujkic, D., Karabasevic, D., Zavadskas, E. K., & Turskis, Z. (2020). A new hybrid MCDM model for personnel selection based on a novel grey PIPRECIA and grey OCRA methods. *Mathematics*, 8(10), 1698.
- Uslu, Y. D., Yılmaz, E., & Yiğit, P. (2021). Developing qualified personnel selection strategies using MCDM approach: A university hospital practice. In *Strategic Outlook in Business and Finance*

Innovation: Multidimensional Policies for Emerging Economies (pp. 195-205). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Zolfani, S. H., Rezaeiniya, N., Aghdaie, M. H., & Zavadskas, E. K. (2012). Quality control manager selection based on AHP-COPRAS-G methods: a case in Iran. *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*, 25(1), 72-86.

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији  
Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

001.895(082)(0.034.2)  
658:[007:004(082)(0.034.2)  
330.341.1(082)(0.034.2)

**МЕЂУНАРОДНА научно-стручна конференција МЕФкон Иновације као покретач развоја (2023 ; Београд)**

Зборник радова са међународног скупа [Електронски извор] / Међународна научно-стручна конференција МЕФкон 2023 Иновације као покретач развоја, [Београд, 7. децембар 2023. године] ; [организатор Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије ... [и др.]] = International conference proceedings / International scientific & professional conference MEFkon 2023 Innovation as the Initiator of Development, [Belgrade, December 7th 2023] ; [organizer Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance ... et al.] ; [уредници, editors Darjan Karabašević, Svetlana Vukotić, Gabrijela Popović]. - Београд : Факултет за примењени менаџмент, економију и финансије = Belgrade : Faculty of Applied Management, Economy and Finance, 2023 (Београд : Vukoslavović studio). - 1 електронски оптички диск (CD-ROM) : текст, слика ; 12 cm

Тираж 100. - Предговор / уредници = Foreword / editors. - Библиографија уз сваки рад. - Abstracts ; Апстракти.

ISBN 978-86-84531-68-3

а) Иновације -- Технолошки развој -- Зборници б) Предузећа -- Пословање -- Информациона технологија -- Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 131967753